

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,649	07/14/2003		Steven Robert Hetzler	ARC9-2003-0015-US1	8646	
35987	7590	02/16/2006		EXAMINER		
JOSEPH P.				CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M		
1469 N.W. I PORTLANI				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2133			
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠	Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/619,649	HETZLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summ	ary E	xaminer	Art Unit				
	,	lujtaba K. Chaudry	2133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DAT provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a this communication. aximum statutory period will a d for reply will, by statute, call months after the mailing da	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>03 Febr</u>	ruary 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL.	·						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	e practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-87 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) Claim(s) is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) 1-87 are subject to	is/are withdrawn d. d. ed to.						
Application Papers							
	is/are: a) accept any objection to the dra ncluding the correction	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)		4) 🔀 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail D					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

An attempt for provisional restriction requirement was made on Tuesday, February 7, 2006 with Applicant's Attorney, Joseph Curtin. A written request was requested. See interview summary attached.

Restrictions to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121:

- I. Claims 1-25 and 45-65, drawn to increasing failure tolerance of a storage system with minimum Hamming distance, classified in class 714, subclass 777.
- II. Claims 26-44 and 66-87, drawn to a method for rebuilding an array following an array failure, classified in class 714, subclass 799.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I, increasing failure tolerance of a storage system with minimum Hamming distance and Group II, a method for rebuilding an array following an array failure are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims of Group II do not require the limitations of increasing failure tolerance of a storage system with minimum Hamming distance. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a single networked environment.

Art Unit: 2133

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Conclusion

Any inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to the examiner,

Mujtaba Chaudry who may be reached at 571-272-3817. The examiner may normally be reached

Mon – Thur 6:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady at 571-272-3819.

Mujtaba Chaudry Art Unit 2133 February 7, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE